# Removals of ammoniacal nitrogen, orthophosphate, biochemical oxygen demand, chemical oxygen demand and total suspended solids in subsurface flow constructed wetland: A short review

## Harry Lye Hin Chong<sup>1,2,3#</sup>, Jian Min Lim<sup>1+</sup>, Rubia Idris<sup>1</sup>, Wilson Thau Lym Yong<sup>4</sup>

1 Faculty of Science and Natural Resources, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA. 2 Water Research Unit, Faculty of Science and Natural Resources, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA. 3 Sustainable Palm Oil Research Unit, Faculty of Sustainable Agriculture, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan Sg. Batang, 90509, Sandakan, Sabah, MALAYSIA. 4 Biotechnology Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA. 4 Biotechnology Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA. 4 Biotechnology Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA. 4 Biotechnology Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA. 4 Biotechnology Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA. 4 Biotechnology Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA. 4 Biotechnology Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA. 4 Biotechnology Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA. 4 Biotechnology Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA. 4 Biotechnology Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA. 4 Biotechnology Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA. 4 Biotechnology Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA. 4 Corresponding author. E-mail: hlhchong@ums.edu.my; Tel: +6-088-320000; Fax: +6-088-320000;

**ABSTRACT** Subsurface flow constructed wetland has been known for its capability to treat wastewater. This work reviewed the removals of ammoniacal nitrogen, orthophosphate, biochemical oxygen demand, chemical oxygen demand and total suspended solids recently published in Scopus indexed journals. Ammoniacal nitrogen in subsurface flow constructed wetland was removed via six interconnected mechanisms, namely, nitrification-denitrification, partial nitrification-denitrification, anaerobic ammonium oxidation, plant uptake, volatilisation and adsorption. The orthophosphate was removed via chemical and biological mechanisms such as adsorption, ligand exchange, precipitation, plant uptake and biological storage in microorganisms. Organic matter in the constructed wetland basin was treated via aerobic and anaerobic degradations to reduce the biochemical oxygen demand and chemical oxygen demand, respectively. Physical mechanisms such as filtration, adsorption and gravitational settling were responsible for the removal of total suspended solids. There exist data gaps which were the application of emergent non-aquatic angiosperms as constructed wetland plants and the simultaneous treatment of ammoniacal nitrogen, orthophosphate, biochemical oxygen demand, chemical oxygen demand and total suspended solids in a single integrated experiment has not been experimented. Future subsurface flow constructed wetland research can address these data gaps.

**KEYWORDS:** Ammoniacal nitrogen; Orthophosphate; Biochemical oxygen demand; Chemical oxygen demand; Total suspended solids; Constructed wetland

Received 3 August 2024 Revised 21 September 2024 Accepted 23 September 2024 In press 28 September 2024 Online 6 October 2024 © Transactions on Science and Technology Review Article

## **INTRODUCTION**

Manmade or constructed wetland was engineered to mimic natural wetland and took advantage of its capabilities in wastewater treatment and ecological enhancement (Vymazal, 2011). The terminology subsurface flow (SSF) referred to constructed wetland which water level was same or below its media while the terminology free water surface (FWS) referred to the constructed wetland which water level was above its media.

When properly employed, the FWS constructed wetland has better aesthetic and recreational values as well as ecological enhancement capability. On the other hand, constructed wetland for wastewater treatment was often of SSF due to its higher treatment efficiency, better hydraulic flow control and fewer odour problem. Recently, Idris *et al.* (2024) reviewed the association between hydraulic performance and treatment effectiveness in FWS constructed wetland while Gebru and Werkneh (2024) reviewed the removals of emerging pollutants from wastewater using various types of constructed wetlands. Nonetheless, there was a gap where pollutants closely related to the freshwater aquaculture effluents, namely, ammoniacal nitrogen (AN), orthophosphate (OP),

biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), chemical oxygen demand (COD) and total suspended solids (TSS), and their treatments in constructed wetland was not reviewed.

Over the years, researchers have experimented with SSF constructed wetland to treat a wide variety of wastewaters and it was known to have advantage in treatment of biodegradable pollutants namely nutrients and organic matter (Chong *et al.*, 2013). The objective of this work was to provide a mini review on its treatment of AN, OP, BOD, COD and TSS. These basic parameters are of importance in good aquaculture practice effluent handling and environmental monitoring by the local authority.

#### **REMOVAL OF AMMONIACAL NITROGEN**

According to Snow *et al.* (2012), nitrogen cycle played an important role in the removal of AN in SSF constructed wetland and the processes involved were nitrification-denitrification, partial nitrification-denitrification, anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox), plant uptake and volatilisation (Figure 1). Apart from nitrogen cycle, adsorption also removed the AN (Zhu *et al.* 2011).



Figure 1. Nitrogen cycle and removal in SSF constructed wetland

#### Nitrification-Denitrification

Although nitrification-denitrification is the major pathway for AN removal, one must be aware that nitrification alone did not remove but it converted the AN to nitrite and nitrate (Ma *et al.*, 2018). Hence, nitrification must be coupled with denitrification or plant uptake to remove AN (Vymazal, 2007).

The presence of oxygen enhanced nitrification as ammonia and nitrite oxidising bacteria utilised oxygen as electron acceptor to oxidise AN into nitrite (Equation 1) and nitrate (Equation 2), in sequence (Lai *et al.*, 2020).

$$NH_4^+ + \frac{3}{2}O_2 \rightarrow NO_2^- + 2H^+ + H_2O$$
 (1)

$$NO_2^- + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow NO_3^- \tag{2}$$

Denitrification is facilitated by facultative bacteria where under anaerobic condition, the facultative bacteria utilised nitrogen oxide as electron acceptors in cellular respiration (Saeed & Sun, 2012). The denitrification reaction can be expressed as Equation 3 (Kadlec & Knight, 1996).

$$NO_{3}^{-} + 5CH_{3}OH + H_{2}CO_{3} \rightarrow C_{5}H_{7}NO_{2} + 2N_{2} + 6H_{2}O + HCO_{3}^{-}$$
(3)

#### Partial Nitrification-Denitrification

Partial nitrification-denitrification refers to the process where AN was converted into nitrite and nitrogen gas, in sequence. In oxygen limited environment where the production of nitrate is inhibited, partial nitrification-denitrification is favoured (Saeed and Sun, 2012; Stefanakis *et al.*, 2009). Recently, Hosseinlou (2021) reported that partial nitrification-denitrification contributed 17.1% of the AN removal.

Partial nitrification-denitrification occurred when the ammonia oxidising bacteria (Equation 4) and denitrifying bacteria (Equation 5) were abundant (Fu *et al.,* 2016).

$$NH_4^+ + \frac{3}{2}O_2 \rightarrow NO_2^- + H_2O + 2H^+$$
 (4)

189

$$NO_{2}^{-} + \frac{1}{2}CH_{3}OH + H^{+} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}N_{2} + \frac{1}{2}CO_{2} + \frac{1}{2}H_{2}O$$
(5)

Even though less favourable, partial nitrification-denitrification did occur in aerobic environment, probably because ammonia oxidising bacteria were more active than the nitrite oxidising bacteria (Li *et al.*, 2019). As compared to the nitrification-denitrification process, partial nitrification-denitrification process utilised 25% lesser oxygen and 40% lesser organic carbon source and emitted 20% lesser carbon dioxide (Peng and Zhu, 2006).

#### Anaerobic Ammonia Oxidation

According to Dong and Sun (2007), in the presence of nitrite in the anaerobic zone of the constructed wetland basin, anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) bacteria oxidised AN to nitrogen gas (Equation 6). The removal of AN via anammox process was estimated at 29.38% (Chen *et al.*, 2019).

$$NH_4^+ + NO_2^- \rightarrow N_2 + 2H_2O \tag{6}$$

The presence of plant has been reported to promote anammox bacteria by providing root surface for its attachment and growth. In richer oxygen environment, anammox bacteria consumed oxygen and thus reduced DO which then promoted the anammox process (Kraiem *et al.*, 2019). Among the advantages of the anammox process were that it did not require external carbon source and utilised lesser oxygen (Saeed and Sun, 2012).

#### Plant Uptake

Plant uptake is one of the removal routes for AN. Thus, plant presence is important in wastewater treatment constructed wetland (Vymazal, 2007; García *et al.*, 2010). The magnitude of plant uptake varies depending on the constructed wetland configuration, loading range, wastewater type and environmental condition (Schierano *et al.*, 2020). It is estimated that 43% – 60% of the removed AN was accumulated in the aboveground biomass (Vymazal, 2020). Thus, to optimise AN removal, aged and dead plants must be periodically harvested as the decomposition of dead plant releases AN back to the constructed wetland basin.

#### Volatilisation

According to Zhang *et al.* (2016), volatilisation occurred when aqueous AN in the constructed wetland basin was converted to gaseous nitrogen and released to the atmosphere (Equation 7).

$$NH_3(aq) \rightarrow NH_3(g)$$
 (7)

It has been reported to occur at pH 8 – 10 and was more likely to occur when the water level was above the media (Lyu *et al.,* 2018; Reddy *et al.,* 1984; Vymazal, 2007). Volatilisation contributed 5% – 25% of AN removal in constructed wetland (Mayo and Mutamba, 2005; Lyu *et al.,* 2018).

## Adsorption

In low temperature environment viz winter season where nitrification is reduced, the role of adsorption in AN removal became more noticeable (Li *et al.*, 2021). Over the years, researchers have employed various media in constructed wetland to enhance AN adsorption (Table 1).

Media	Reference
Crushed granitic gravel	Pascual <i>et al.</i> (2024)
Mixture of granular coconut shell activated carbon, gravel and pebble	Pang <i>et al.</i> (2024)
Mixture of cow manure and wood chip	Benny & Chakraborty (2023)
Mixture of manganese oxide and sand	Cheng <i>et al.</i> (2022)
Mixture of manganese ores and granular active charcoal	Wang <i>et al.</i> (2022)
Sand, biochar, iron particle, concrete particle and stone dust	Saeed <i>et al.</i> (2022)
Gravel, slag and Ti-bearing blast furnace slag	Xu et al. (2019)
Polyethylene ball	Wang & Li (2011)

Table 1. The AN adsorbing media used in SSF constructed wetland

#### **REMOVAL OF ORTHOPHOSPHATE**

According to Vymazal (2007), OP was the most common form of phosphorus in constructed wetland; and it's known removal mechanisms were adsorption, ligand exchange, precipitation, plant uptake and biological storage in microorganisms.

#### Adsorption

The adsorption of OP onto media resulted in cleaner wastewater exiting the SSF constructed wetland. Media texture and particle size as well as its iron, calcium and magnesium contents have been reported to influence the magnitude of OP adsorption in the constructed wetland basin (Garcia *et al.,* 2010).

#### Ligand Exchange

In constructed wetland which employed mineral-based media, its OP was removed by ligand exchange as one of the removal mechanisms. Examples of ligand exchange which involved the presence of ferric oxide and calcium oxide in OP containing constructed wetland basin are illustrated in Equations 8 – 11 (Snow *et al.*, 2012; Zhao *et al.*, 2022).

 $Fe_2O_3 + 3H_2O \leftrightarrow 2Fe(OH)_3$  (8)

 $Fe(OH)_3 + H_2PO_4^- \leftrightarrow FePO_4 + OH^- + 2H_2O$ (9)

 $CaO + H_2O \leftrightarrow Ca(OH)_2 \tag{10}$ 

$$Ca(OH)_2 + 2H_2PO_4 \leftrightarrow Ca(H_2PO_4)_2 + 2OH^-$$
(11)

#### Precipitation

Some researchers have employed slag as constructed wetland media (Ballantine and Tanner, 2010; Cui et al., 2008). Because slag contain minerals such as iron, aluminium and calcium, the OP reacted with these metallic cations to form precipitates and were removed from the effluent (Equations 12 – 14) (Gao et al., 2020).

$$Fe^{3+} + PO_4^{3-} \rightarrow FePO_4$$
 (12)

$$Al^{3+} + PO_4^{3-} \leftrightarrow AlPO_4 \tag{13}$$

$$3Ca^{2+} + 2PO_4^{3-} \leftrightarrow Ca_3(PO_4)_2$$

$$3Mg^{2+} + 2PO_4^{3-} \leftrightarrow Mg_3(PO_4)_2$$
(14)
(15)

Under aerobic and acidic conditions, iron and aluminium reacted with OP to form precipitate, whereas, under anaerobic and alkaline conditions, the insoluble compounds re-dissolved and reacted with calcium and magnesium to form precipitate (Scholz and Lee, 2007). According to Vymazal (2007), there were eight common precipitated OP compounds in constructed wetland, namely, apatite, Ca<sub>5</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>), hydroxylapatite, Ca<sub>5</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(OH), variscite, AlPO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, strengite, FePO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, vivianite, Fe<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·8H<sub>2</sub>O, wavellite, Al<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>3</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, ferric oxyhydroxide, FeO(OH), and calcite, CaCO<sub>3</sub>.

#### Plant Uptake

In tropical and subtropical regions where plants grow throughout the year, plant uptake played an important role in OP removal where it was highest at the beginning of the growing period (Vymazal, 2007). Nonetheless, often the OP was confined to the below-ground biomass due to low translocation factor where less than 5% was uptook into the above-ground biomass (Vymazal, 2005; Vymazal, 2002). Aged plants must be harvested periodically to prevent the release of OP from dead plants biomass.

#### Biological Storage in Microorganisms

Microorganisms in the constructed wetland basin consumed OP for growth and reproduction. Although the OP consumed was in small amount, the consumption speed was fast (Vymazal, 2007). The biological storage of OP in microorganisms was dynamic as death resulted in the release of OP back into the constructed wetland basin which then re-consumed by living microorganisms (Garcia *et al.,* 2010).

#### **REMOVAL OF BOD AND COD**

The BOD and COD in wastewater were caused by the presence of degradable organic matter which can be removed through aerobic and anaerobic degradations in wastewater treatment constructed wetland (Angassa et al., 2017; Iylas & Masih, 2017; Vymazal & Kropfelova, 2009). Oxygen needed in the aerobic degradation were obtained through atmospheric oxygen diffusion and macrophyte root transfer into the rhizosphere (Saeed and Sun, 2012). Various researchers have studied the removals of BOD and COD by SSF constructed wetland, particularly the horizontal flow (Table 2).

#### Aerobic Degradation

Aerobic degradation was governed by the aerobic heterotroph which used oxygen as electron acceptor to oxidise organic matter into carbon dioxide, ammonia and other stable chemical compounds (Garcia et al., 2010; Saeed and Sun, 2012; Vymazal, 2005). The aerobic heterotroph's metabolism intensity was influenced by the characteristic of the organic matter present and the availability of oxygen in the constructed wetland basin.

(11)

TAT Louis Louis	Influent pretreatment	Species planted	Hydraulic	Removal (%)		<b>D</b> (
wastewater			retention time	BOD <sup>5</sup>	COD	Keierence
	Nil	Phragmites australis	5.88 d	93	87	Akyùrek & Ağdağ, 2024
	Aerobic moving bed biofilm reactor	Canna indica L.	30.65 h		96.6	
			16.1 h		92.7	Kamilya et al., 2023
			10.2 h 8 d	87	89.8	
Domestic	Flowform cascade	Cyperus involucratus	4 d	85		
	Nil		2 d	75		Upg at al 2022
			8 d	80		Ung <i>et ut.,</i> 2022
			4 d	75		
		Cuperus namurus and	2 d	60		
	Primary treatment	Phragmites australis	24 h	80	82	Salem <i>et al.,</i> 2022
wastewater	Recirculated aeration	Cyperus rotundus and	12 h	64	48	Thalla <i>et al.,</i> 2019
	tank – clarifier	Pennisetum pedicellatum Canna flaccida, Zantedeschia aethiopica, Canna indica and Agapanthus africanus	24 h Eluctuate	77	60	
	Nil		depending on	93.96	93.76	Calheiros et al., 2015
			tourist occupancy			,
	Bar screen and grit	Phragmites australis	$5.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ d}$	74.5	66.4	Lamor et al. 2015
	chamber	Schoenoplectus californicus	$5.5 \pm 0.3$ d	76.5	67.9	Lopez et ut., 2015
	Physical settling	Canna, Phragmites australis and Cyperus papyrus	11 d	92.8	91.5	Abou-Elela et al., 2013
	Nil	Phragmites australis	4 – 8 d	59.1	52.3	Amado et al., 2012
		Zantedeschia aethiopica		76.0	75.5	
	Nil	Strelitzia reginae,	4 d			Zurita <i>et al.,</i> 2009
		Anturium andreanum and		79.7	77.1	,
Protroated		Agapanthus africanus				
sewage	Nil	Phragmites australis	1.3 d	38 – 60	45 – 55	Abed et al., 2016
			2 h	77.78	69.92	Boopathi & Kadarkarai.
Greywater	Nil	Saccharum officinarum	24 h	84.44	71.80	2022
Hospital		Tunha latifolia	48 n	00.09	81.20	
wastewater	Nil	(60% area planted)	Not stated	47	48	Karungamye et al., 2023
	Aerobic ponds	Typha domingensis	7 d	57.9	68.7	Schierano et al, 2020
D :	Equalisation-Imhoff	Arundo donax		79.6	61.8	
Dairy	tanks and static	Cuperus alternifolius	8.3 d	76.1	61.4	Licata <i>et al.,</i> 2022
wastewater	degreaser Imboff tank and	Cyper ne niner nijer nie		7 011	0111	
	plastic filter	Phragmites australis	10 d	93.7	91.9	Paolo <i>et al.,</i> 2003
Browery	Up-flow anaerobic	Cumerus alternifolius, Tumba				
wastewater	sludge blanket	latifolia	4 d		92	Alayu & Leta, 2021
	reactor	Coirrus littoralia Cumarus				
Shrimp farm	Nil	involucratus and	4 d	22	19	Le <i>et al.,</i> 2022
effluent		Posidoniaceae	6 d	37	31	,
		Pennisetum purpureum		80.32	84.03	
Tannery	NT:1	Typha domingensis		76.78	81.02	Alamaa at al. 2021
wastewater	1011	Cyprus iutijoiius Echinochloa nuramidalis	6 d	79.01 77.40	82.86 80.99	Alemu et al., 2021
		Unplanted		74.20	78.78	
Diluted cork		Phraomites australis	$56 \pm 10$ d	86 - 96	61 – 91	
boiling	Nil	Unplanted	$6 \pm 1.2 d$	70 – 84	49 – 74	Gomes et al., 2018
Synthetic		-				
wastewater	Nil	Iris pseudacorus	4 d	97	87	Rozman et al., 2023
Microplastic						
laden	Nil	Iris pseudacorus	4 d	98	91	Rozman et al., 2023
syntnetic wastewater						
Polluted river	Machanical	Dhygowitza autu-li-	F 0.0		76 49	Lin et al. 2024
water	mechanical grid	r nrugmites uustraiis	0.9 u		10.40	Liu ei ui., 2024

## Table 2. Removals of BOD and COD by horizontal SSF constructed wetland

#### Anaerobic Degradation

Anaerobic degradation in SSF constructed wetland was facilitated by facultative bacteria or obligate anaerobes (Vymazal, 2005). In the first step, fermentation, the primary end-products were acetic acid, lactic acid, ethanol, carbon dioxide and hydrogen (Saeed and Sun, 2012; Snow *et al.*, 2012). The second step, methanogenesis, was carried out by methane forming bacteria where the end products were methane and water. The methane forming bacteria have been reported to operate at pH 6.5 - 7.5 as they were pH sensitive (Vymazal, 2005).

#### **REMOVAL OF TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS**

Throughout the years, TSS removal has been effective especially in horizontal SSF constructed wetland. For example, recent published works in 2020 – 2024 reported removal efficiencies of 67% - 91% (Table 3). Most of the removal occurred at inlet zone (Reed, 1993). The TSS were removed physically via media-plant roots void pore filtration, adsorption onto media and plant roots, and gravitational settling (Zurita *et al.*, 2009). Retained organic-based TSS were subjected to aerobic or anaerobic degradations (Manios *et al.*, 2003).

		5			
Influent	Influent pretreatment	Species planted	Hydraulic retention time	Removal (%)	Reference
Domestic wastewater	Nil	Phragmites australis	5.88 d	88	Akyùrek & Ağdağ, 2024
	Primary treatment	<i>Cyperus papyrus</i> and <i>Phragmites australis</i>	24 h	78	Salem <i>et al.</i> , 2022
Greywater	Nil	Saccharum officinarum	2 h 24 h 48 h	82.35 89.88 91.06	Boopathi & Kadarkarai, 2022
Hospital wastewater	Nil	<i>Typha latifolia</i> (60% area planted)	Not stated	82	Karungamye <i>et al.,</i> 2023
Polluted river water	Mechanical grid	Phragmites australis	0.9 d	88.44	Liu <i>et al.,</i> 2024
Brewery wastewater	UASB reactor up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor	Cyperus alternifolius, Typha latifolia	4 d	89	Alayu & Leta, 2021
Tannery wastewater	Nil	Pennisetum purpureum Typha domingensis Cyprus latifolius Echinochloa pyramidalis Unplanted	6 d	70 67 71 69 68	Alemu <i>et al.,</i> 2021
Dairy wastewater	Aerobic ponds	Typha domingensis	7 d	78.4	Schierano <i>et al.,</i> 2020

Table 3. Removal of TSS by horizontal SSF constructed wetland

## CONCLUSION

The SSF constructed wetland's basic components, namely, the waterbody, microorganisms, emergent plants and media were interactively involved in the complicated biological, chemical and physical processes or mechanisms that successfully treated the AN, OP, BOD, COD and TSS in

wastewater. At times, the basic components have direct impact on the treatment. For examples, (i) the physicochemical adsorptions of AN and OP onto the media and (ii) the physical filtration and retainment of TSS by the media and plant roots. Nonetheless, it was more often that the basic components have different indirect effects which then collectively impacted on the treatment of pollutants. For instance, the presence of microorganisms affected the biochemical conversion of AN to nitrate and the presence of emergent plants affected the biological uptake of nitrate where the combination of microorganisms and plants presences collectively impacted in the removal of AN from the wastewater.

There was a wide variation of hydraulic retention time employed in SSF constructed wetland for the treatment of various wastewaters, normally the longer the hydraulic retention time, the higher the treatment efficiency. However, for practical reasons, the hydraulic retention time of 3 – 5 d is sufficient for the treatment of most wastewaters. The emergent plants used are often of local abundantly available plants such as *Phragmites spp., Typha spp.* and *Canna spp.* For applications in tropical region, such as in Borneo, the treatment performance and aesthetic of the SSF constructed wetland can be optimised by adopting 5 d hydraulic retention time and planting *Canna spp.* instead of *Phragmites spp.* 

In SSF constructed wetland, the removals of AN, BOD and COD were mostly through biochemical and chemical processes or mechanisms while the removals of OP and TSS were mainly through chemical and physical means, respectively. Nevertheless, the removals of AN and TSS via physicochemical processes such as adsorption should not be overlooked as well as the chemical degradation of retained organic-based TSS.

Throughout the review process, it was noted that there was no published report on the simultaneous treatment of AN, OP, BOD, COD and TSS in a single SSF constructed wetland experiment. It was worthy to note that although the effects of emergent aquatic plants on treatment performances have been studied by some researchers, there was data gap in the application of emergent non-aquatic angiosperms (flowering plant). Thus, future SSF constructed wetland research should consider the application of emergent non-aquatic angiosperms and the simultaneous treatment of AN, OP, BOD, COD and TSS in a single integrated experiment which *Canna austria* and freshwater aquaculture effluent could be used as the model emergent non-aquatic angiosperms and wastewater, respectively.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledged the financial support from Universiti Malaysia Sabah via its research grant GUG0409-2/2019. One the author is grateful to the Malaysian government for sponsoring his postgraduate study via the scholarship JPA PPC 2019.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Abed, S.N., Mahmoud, N. & Sharma, S.K. 2016. Potential of horizontal subsurface-flow constructed wetlands for polishing of treated sewages. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 142(6), 04016020.
- [2] Abou-Elela, S.I., Golinielli, G., Abou-Taleb, E.M. & Hellal, M.S. 2013. Municipal wastewater treatment in horizontal and vertical flows constructed wetlands. *Ecological Engineering*, 61(2013), 460 468.

- [3] Akyùrek, A. & Ağdağ, O.N. 2024. Comparison of constructed wetlands and package type sequencing batch biological treatment plants in rural areas in terms of efficiency and cost in a full-scale example. *Ecological Engineering*, 201(2024), 107190.
- [4] Alayu, E. & Leta, S. 2021. Performance efficiency and water quality index of a two-stage horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetland system polishing anaerobically treated brewery effluent. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 42(2021), 102156.
- [5] Alemu, A., Gabbiye, N. & Lemma, B. 2021. Evaluation of tannery wastewater treatment by integrating vesicular basalt with local plant species in a constructed wetland system. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 9, 721014.
- [6] Amado, L., Albuquerque, A. & Santo, A.E. 2012. Influence of stormwater infiltration on the treatment capacity of a LECA-based horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetland. *Ecological Engineering*, 39(2012), 16 23.
- [7] Angassa, K., Leta, S., Mulat, W., Kloos, H. & Meers, E. 2017. Organic matter and nutrient removal performance of horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands planted with *Phragmite karka* and *Vetiveria zizaniode* for treating municipal wastewater. *Environmental Process*, 5(1), 115 130.
- [8] Ballantine, D.J. & Tanner, C.C. 2010. Substrate and filter materials to enhance phosphorus removal in constructed wetlands treating diffuse farm runoff: a review. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, 53(1), 71 – 95.
- [9] Benny, C.K. & Chakraborty, S. 2023. Dyeing wastewater treatment in horizontal-vertical constructed wetland using organic waste media. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 331(2023), 117213.
- [10] Boopathi, N. & Kadarkarai, R. 2022. A laboratory-scale study of residential greywater treatment with sugarcane in a constructed wetland. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(2022), 61178 – 61186.
- [11] Calheiros, C.S.C., Bessa, V.S., Mesquita, R.B.R., Brix, H., Rangel, A.O.S.S. & Castro, P.M.L. 2015. Constructed wetland with a polyculture of ornamental plants for wastewater treatment at a rural tourism facility. *Ecological Engineering*, 79(2015), 1 – 7.
- [12] Chen, D., Gu, X., Zhu, W., He, S., Wu, F. Huang, J. & Zhou, W. 2019. Denitrification- and anammox-dominant simultaneous nitrification, anammox and denitrification (SNAD) process in subsurface flow constructed wetlands. *Bioresource Technology*, 271(2019), 298 – 305.
- [13] Cheng, C., He, Q., Zhang, J., Chai, H., Yang, Y., Pavlostathis, S.G. & Wu, H. 2022. New insight into ammonium oxidation processes and mechanisms mediated by manganese oxide in constructed wetlands. *Water Research*, 215(2022), 118251.
- [14] Chong, H.L.H., Chia, P.S. & Ahmad, M.N. 2013. The adsorption of heavy metal by Bornean oil palm shell and its potential application as constructed wetland media. *Bioresource Technology*, 120(2013), 181 – 186.
- [15] Cui, L., Zhu, X., Ma, M., Ouyang, Y., Dong, M., Zhu, W. & Luo, S. 2008. Phosphorus sorption capacities and physicochemical properties of nine substrate materials for constructed wetland. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 55(2008), 210 – 217.
- [16] Dong, K. & Sun, T. 2007. A potential new process for improving nitrogen removal in constructed wetlands – promoting coexistence of partial-nitrification and ANAMMOX. *Ecological Engineering*, 31(2), 69 – 78.
- [17] Fu, G., Yu, T., Guo, Z. & Wong, M.H. 2016. Effects of nitrogen removal microbes and partial nitrification-denitrification in the integrated vertical-flow constructed wetland. *Ecological Engineering*, 95(2016), 83 – 89.
- [18] Gao, J., Zhao, J., Zhang, J., Li, Q., Gao, J., Cai, M. & Zhang, J. 2020. Preparation of a new low-cost substrate prepared from drinking water treatment sludge (DWTS)/bentonite/zeolite/fly ash for rapid phosphorus removal in constructed wetlands. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 261(2020), 121110.

- [19] García, J., Rousseau, D.P.L., Morato, J., Lesage, E., Matamoros, V. & Bayona, J.M. 2010. Contaminant removal processes in subsurface-flow constructed wetlands: a review. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 40(7), 561 – 661.
- [20] Gebru, S.B. & Werkneh, A.A. 2024. Applications of constructed wetlands in removing emerging micropollutants from wastewater: Occurrence, public health concerns, and removal performances – a review. *South African Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 48 (2024), 396 – 416.
- [21] Gomes, A.C., Silva, L., Albuquerque, A., Simoes, R. & Stefanakis, A.I. 2018. Investigation of labscale horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands treating industrial cork boiling wastewater. *Chemosphere*, 207(2018), 430 – 439.
- [22] Hosseinlou, D. 2021. Determination of design loading rates for simultaneous anaerobic oxidation/partial nitrification denitrification process and application in treating dairy industry effluent. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 9(3), 105176.
- [23] Idris, N.N., Chua, L.H.C., Mustaffa, Z., Das, S. & Takaijudin, H. 2024. A review study on the association between hydraulic performance and treatment effectiveness in free surface flow constructed wetlands. *Ecological Engineering*, 203 (2024) 107258.
- [24] Iylas, H. & Masih, I. 2017. Ther performance of the intensified constructed wetlands for organic matter and nitrogen removal: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 198 (2017), 372 – 383.
- [25] Kadlec, R.H. & Knight, R.L. 1996. Treatment Wetlands. Boca Raton: CRC Press LLC.
- [26] Kamilya, T., Majumder, A., Saidulu, D., Tripathy, S. & Gupta, A.K. 2023. Optimization of a continuous hybrid moving bed biofilm reactor and constructed wetland system for the treatment of paracetamol-spiked domestic wastewater. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 477(2023), 147139.
- [27] Karungamye, P., Rugaika, A., Mtei, K. & Machunda, R. 2023. Physicochemical and microbiological characterization and of hospital wastewater in Tanzania. *Total Environment Research Themes*, 8(2023), 100075.
- [28] Kraiem, K., Kallali, H., Wahab, M.A., Fra, A., Mosquera, A. & Jedidi, N. 2019. Comparative study on pilots between ANAMMOX favored conditions in a partially saturated vertical flow constructed wetland and a hybrid system for rural wastewater treatment. *Science of The Total Environment*, 670(2019), 644 – 653.
- [29] Lai, C., Guo, Y., Cai, Q. & Yang, P. 2020. Enhanced nitrogen removal by simultaneous nitrification-denitrification and further denitrification (SND-DN) in a moving bed and constructed wetland (MBCW) integrated bioreactor. *Chemosphere*, 261(2020), 127744.
- [30] Le, H.Q.A., Dinh, T.N., Phan, D.T., Nguyen, M.P., Pham, N.N., Nguyen, V.L., Rudolph, K.U. & Hilbig, J. 2022. Treatment of shrimp farm effluents using native plant species in the Mekong Delta region. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 20(2023), 4459 – 4466.
- [31] Licata, M., Farruggia, D., Tuttolomondo, T., Iacuzzi, N., Leto, C. & Miceli, G.D. 2022. Seasonal response of vegetation on pollutants removal in constructed wetland system treating dairy wastewater. *Ecological Engineering*, 182(2022), 106727.
- [32] Li, H., Zhang, Y., Wu, L., Jin, Y., Gong, Y., Li, A., Li, J. & Li, F. 2021. Recycled aggregates from construction and demolition waste as substrates for pollutant removal. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 311(2021), 127766.
- [33] Li, J., Hu, Z., Li, F., Fan, J., Zhang, J., Li, F. & Hu, H. 2019. Effect of oxygen supply strategy on nitrogen removal of biochar-based vertical subsurface flow constructed wetland: Intermittent aeration and tidal flow. *Chemosphere*, 223(2019), 366 – 374.
- [34] Liu, Y., Li, Y., Yin, W., Wang, H., Zhao, X., Liu, X., Lu, S. & Wang, A. 2024. Long-term performance of a deep oxidation pond with horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetland for purification of rural polluted river water. *Environmental Research*, 240(2024), 117498.

- [35] Lopez, D., Fuenzalida, D., Vera, I., Rojas, K. & Vidal, G. 2015. Relationship between the removal of organic matter and the production of methane in subsurface flow constructed wetlands designed for wastewater treatment. *Ecological Engineering*, 83(2015), 296 – 304.
- [36] Lyu, T., He, K., Dong, R. & Wu, S. 2018. The intensified constructed wetlands are promising for treatment of ammonia stripped effluent: Nitrogen transformations and removal pathways. *Environmental Pollution*, 236(2018), 273 – 282.
- [37] Ma, T., Zhang, L., Xi, B., Xiong, Y., Yu, P., Li, G., Li, J. & Zhao, C. 2018. Treatment of farmer household tourism wastewater using iron-carbon micro-electrolysis and horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands: A full-scale study. *Ecological Engineering*, 110(2018), 192 – 203.
- [38] Manios, T., Stentiford, E.I., & Millner, P. 2003. Removal of Total Suspended Solids from Wastewater in Constructed Horizontal Flow Subsurface Wetlands. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part A: Toxic/Hazardous Substances & Environmental Engineering*, 38(6), 1073 – 1085.
- [39] Mayo, A.W. & Mutamba, J. 2005. Modelling nitrogen removal in a coupled HRP and unplanted horizontal flow subsurface gravel bed constructed wetland. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 30(11 16), 673 679.
- [40] Pang, Q., Xie, L., Shen, C., Zhu, X., Wang, L., Ni, L., Peng, F., Yu, J., Wang, L. & He, F. 2024. Triclosan disturbs nitrogen removal in constructed wetlands: Responses of microbial structure and functions. *Environmental Research*, 243(2024), 117847.
- [41] Paolo, M., Marmiroli, M., Maestri, E., Tagliavini, S., Piccinini, S. & Marmiroli, N. 2003. Application of a horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetland in treatment of dairy parlor wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*, 88(2), 85 – 94.
- [42] Pascual, A., Alvarez, J.A, de la Varga, D., Arias, C.A., Oirschot, D.V., Kilian, R. & Soto, M. 2024. Horizontal flow aerated constructed wetlands for municipal wastewater treatment: The influence of bed depth. *Science of The Total Environment*, 908(2024), 168257.
- [43] Peng, Y. & Zhu, G. 2006. Biological nitrogen removal with nitrification and denitrification via nitrite pathway. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 73(2006), 15 26.
- [44] Reddy, K.R., Patrick, W.H. & Broadbent, F.E. 1984. Nitrogen transformations and loss in flooded soils and sediments. *CRC Critical Reviews in Environmental Control*, 13(4), 273 309.
- [45] Reed, S.C. 1993. Subsurface Flow Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment: A Technology Assessment. United State: United State Environmental Protection Agency.
- [46] Rozman, U., Klun, B. & Kalcikova, G. 2023. Distribution and removal of microplastics in a horizontal sub-surface flow laboratory constructed wetland and their effects on the treatment efficiency. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 461(2023), 142076.
- [47] Saeed, T. & Sun, G. 2012. A review on nitrogen and organic removal mechanisms in subsurface flow constructed wetlands: Dependency on environmental parameters, operating conditions and supporting media. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 112(2012), 429 448.
- [48] Saeed, T., Miah, M.J. & Yadav, A.K. 2022. Development of electrodes integrated hybrid constructed wetlands using organic, construction, and rejected materials as filter media: landfill leachate treatment. *Chemosphere*, 303(2022), 135273.
- [49] Salem, M., Gabr, M.E., Mossad, M. & Mahanna, H. 2022. Random forest modelling and evaluation of the performance of a full-scale subsurface flow constructed wetland plant in Egypt. *Ain Sham Engineering Journal*, 13(6), 101778.
- [50] Schierano, M.C., Panigatti, M.C., Maine, M.A., Griffa, C.A. & Boglione, R. 2020. Horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetland for tertiary treatment of dairy wastewater: Removal efficiencies and plant uptake. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 272(2020), 111094.
- [51] Scholz, M. & Lee, B.H. 2007. Constructed wetlands: a review. International Journal of *Environmental Studies*, 62(4), 421 447.

- [52] Snow, A., Anderson, B. & Wootton, B. 2012. Flow-through land-based aquaculture wastewater and its treatment in subsurface flow constructed wetlands. *Environmental Review*, 20(1), 54 69.
- [53] Stefanakis, A.I., Akratos, C.S., Gikas, G.D. & Tsihrinzis, V.A. 2009. Effluent quality improvement of two pilot-scale, horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands using natural zeolite (clinoptilolite). *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, 124(1 – 3), 131 – 143.
- [54] Thalla, A.K., Devatha, C.P., Anagh, K. & Sony, E. 2019. Performance evaluation of horizontal and vertical flow constructed wetlands as tertiary treatment option for secondary effluents. *Applied Water Science*, 9(2019), 147.
- [55] Ung, H.T.T., Leu, B.T., Tran, H.T.H., Nguyen, L.N., Nghiem, L.D., Hoang, N.B., Pham, H.T. & Duong, H.C. 2022. Combining flowform cascade with constructed wetland to enhance domestic wastewater treatment. *Environmental Technology & Innovation*, 27(2022), 102537.
- [56] Vymazal, J. 2002. The use of sub-surface constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment in the Czech Republic: 10 years' experience. *Ecological Engineering*, 18(5), 633 646.
- [57] Vymazal, J. 2005. Horizontal sub-surface flow and hybrid constructed wetlands systems for wastewater treatment. *Ecological Engineering*, 25(5), 478 490.
- [58] Vymazal, J. 2007. Removal of nutrients in various types of constructed wetlands. *Science of The Total Environment*, 380(1 3), 48 65.
- [59] Vymazal, J. 2011. Constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment: Five decades of experience. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 45(1), 61 69.
- [60] Vymazal, J. 2020. Removal of nutrients in constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment through plant harvesting Biomass and load matter the most. *Ecological Engineering*, 155(2020), 105962.
- [61] Vymazal, J. & Kropfelova, L. 2009. Removal of organics in constructed wetlands with horizontal sub-surface flow: A review of the field experience. *Science of The Total Environment*, 407(13), 3911 3922.
- [62] Wang, L. & Li, T. 2011. Anaerobic ammonium oxidation in constructed wetlands with biocontact oxidation as pretreatment. *Ecological Engineering*, 37(8), 1225 – 1230.
- [63] Wang, Y., Li, D., Song, X., Cao, X., Xu, Z., Huang, W., Wang, Y., Wang, Z. & Sand, W. 2022. Intensifying anoxic ammonium removal by manganese ores and granular active carbon fillings in constructed wetland-microbial fuel cells: Metagenomics reveals functional genes and microbial mechanisms. *Bioresource Technology*, 352(2022), 127114.
- [64] Xu, R., Zhang, Y., Liu, R., Cao, Y., Wang, G., Ji, L. & Xu, Y. 2019. Effects of different substrates on nitrogen and phosphorus removal in horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(2019), 16229 – 16238.
- [65] Zhang, S., Xiao, R., Liu, F., Zhou, J. & Li, H. 2016. Effects of vegetation on nitrogen removal and ammonia volatilization from wetland microcosms. *Ecological Engineering*, 97(2016), 363 369.
- [66] Zhao, J. Li, A. & Wang, H. 2022. Study on the feasibility and stability of drinking water treatment sludge (DWTS)@zeolite to remove phosphorus from constructed wetlands. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 10(6), 108713.
- [67] Zhu, W.L., Cui, L.H., Ouyang, Y., Long, C.F. & Tang, X.D. 2011. Kinetic adsorption of ammonium nitrogen by substrate materials for constructed wetlands. *Pedosphere*, 21(4), 454 – 463.
- [68] Zurita, F., De Anda, J., & Belmont, M.A. 2009. Treatment of domestic wastewater and production of commercial flowers in vertical and horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands. *Ecological Engineering*, 35 (5), 861 869.