

# Indoor Coarse and Fine Particulates in Elementary Urban Schools

Carolyn Payus<sup>1\*</sup>, Chrystalyziana Venchin<sup>1</sup> & Norela Sulaiman<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Science and Natural Resources, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS,  
88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia,  
43600 Bangi, Selangor, MALAYSIA

\*Corresponding author. E-Mail: cpayus@gmail.com; Tel: +6088 320 000; Fax: +6088 435 324

Received: 5 May 2016  
Revised: 16 May 2016  
Accepted: 17 May 2016  
In press: 17 May 2016  
Online: 30 June 2016

**Keywords:**  
Indoor air quality; Coarse  
particulate; Fine particulate;  
Elementary school

## Abstract

The issue of more elementary children is seen to have respiratory sickness such as asthma especially the younger ones age 5-13 years old is highlighted in this research. By fact 20 - 38% of acute pediatric admissions in the country and the third most common death in children between the age of 1 and 13 are caused by respiratory illness. Children are frail during their growth because of their immature immune systems; makes them more susceptible to the health effects of air pollution compare to adults. The indoor air quality (IAQ) in classrooms plays a major role in the children health since they spend at least 71% of their time in school building, approximately seven or more hours a day in school. This research aims to assess the elementary schools IAQ profile using filter-based sampler in Kota Kinabalu, with 8 hours measurement time for total twelve sampling days. Overall, the average concentration of coarse and fine particulates in weekdays found higher compared to weekends with average  $PM_{0.3}$   $312.09 \pm 73.28 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (weekdays) and  $156.77 \pm 41.56 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (weekends);  $PM_{2.0}$   $5.71 \pm 1.80 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (weekdays) and  $1.37 \pm 0.44 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (weekends), and  $PM_{5.0}$   $1.45 \pm 0.68 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (weekdays) and  $0.06 \pm 0.03 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (weekends). The I/O ratio indicates that major of the indoor airborne particulates are not generated from the outdoors but predominantly from indoor source namely from bio effluents from occupants and their activities, building materials, furnishings and ventilation rates. The importance of the study is to provide a baseline data in developing the IAQ standard for children, thus improvement for a better school facility for the children.

© Transactions on Science and Technology 2016

## Introduction

Nowadays, often seen children, even infants, are increasingly infected with respiratory illness more than other health problems. The effects of air pollution on children have been growing (Khan *et al.*, 2007) and the consequences can be seen by the increase of the allergic rhinitis and asthma disease on (Valavanidis *et al.*, 2007). For children compare to adults, are more vulnerable to air pollutants, dust and smoke because of their immature immune systems, undeveloped breathing airways (Stranger *et al.*, 2007), greater food intake, the breathing pattern which they breathe higher volumes of air relative to their body weights (inhaled breath per unit mass higher compare to adults), and their rapid growth where their tissues and organs are actively growing (USEPA, 1995; Mendell & Heath, 2005). The children breathing zone is lower than adults make them more exposed to heavier pollutants that fall and concentrate at lower levels in the air (Brabin *et al.*, 2008). It is reported that the prevalence of respiratory symptoms in primary school children is recorded as 13.8% higher compare to age 14 years

and above which is reported only at 9.6%. Indoor air quality (IAQ) in school is more serious than in other categories of indoor buildings, due to higher occupants density and insufficient air ventilation, aggravated by frequent inadequate construction and poor maintenance of the school buildings (Pegas *et al.*, 2010). Schools are always seen to have construction deficiencies because of chronic shortages of funding that contributes to inadequate operation and maintenance of the facilities (Jacqueline-Zweig *et al.*, 2009).

Other than that, the IAQ in school building plays major role in students learning environment, as they spend more time in school rather than at home. Epidemiologic studies provide evidence of a relationship between the higher levels of air pollutants with decreased of the brain development and intelligent quotient (IQ) in school children. Jacqueline-Zweig *et al.* (2009) study for example, found that higher levels of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> have consistently lowered the students math scores, while higher level of PM<sub>2.5</sub> consistently reduce the reading test scores. Similarly Halterman *et al.* (2008) found that kindergarten-age children with asthma sickness had lower scores than non-asthmatic kindergarten-age children in readiness skills. Higher levels of black carbon (marker for traffic particles) were also associated with the decreased of cognitive function and memory of children age 10 years old (Suglia *et al.*, 2008). Apart from that, coarse and fine particulates with aerodynamic diameter less than 10 µm can penetrate deeper into lungs through inhalation system and can even reach to blood stream and eventually causing heart problems (Longhurst & Brebbia, 2014). On the other hand, schools in urban area are mostly located near to roadways with higher volume of traffic thus more exposed to air pollutants from vehicles combustion, construction and industrial emissions (Rivas *et al.*, 2014). As a result, the dispersion if air contaminants from outdoor into indoor classroom initiates by the mechanical transport from wind and air ventilation (Chithra & Shiva, 2012).makes the urban school children more expose to air pollutants throughout the year. Therefore, the aim of this research is to establish whether the indoor air quality in selected elementary school is clean or not for the children thus to avoid elevated exposure by mitigation and adaptation purposes.

### Methodology

Standard methodology based on Almeida *et al.* (2011), Fromme *et al.* (2008) and MetOne (2014) was used in this paper. Concentrations of airborne particulates (PM<sub>0.3</sub>, PM<sub>2.0</sub>, and PM<sub>5.0</sub>) were measured using portable filter-based sampler GT-321 hand-held portable particle monitor. Four elementary schools in Kota Kinabalu area were selected as case studies, with average floor area (m<sup>2</sup>) of classrooms at 4860 m<sup>2</sup>, approximately 30 to 45 students occupying the classrooms and with open windows and average 2 ceiling fans of ventilation mode. Measurements were conducted during school days when the classrooms are occupied with school children for about 8 hours and each day a different school were monitored. The particulates were also sampled during weekends to compare the concentrations during teaching hours and when the classrooms are not occupied by students. In each school, at least three classrooms sampling with one sampler per classroom selected that are routinely occupied during school hours. All selected schools for this research have natural ventilation and no

forced ventilation or air conditioning system, and ventilation is by opening doors and windows. The sampling and measuring position in the classroom is opposite to the teaching board, about one meter above the floor level, the level at which the school children would normally inhale. This is chosen as a typical location inside the classroom, and a distance away from the door to avoid disturbances from air currents from the outside air. In addition, outdoor air samplings were also conducted to analyze the correlation from outside ambient pollutants transport into the indoor air.

### Result and discussion

It was found that the average concentration of coarse and fine particulates in weekdays found to be higher with average  $PM_{0.3}$   $258.65 \pm 45.76 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ;  $PM_{2.0}$   $3.55 \pm 1.46 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ;  $PM_{5.0}$   $0.30 \pm 0.19 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  compared to weekends reported at  $PM_{0.3}$   $210.84 \pm 42.48 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ;  $PM_{2.0}$   $1.45 \pm 0.25 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  and  $PM_{5.0}$   $0.06 \pm 0.02 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . As shown in Table 1, the highest  $PM_{0.3}$  reading recorded at  $399.24 \pm 13.85 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  and minimum concentration of  $133.24 \pm 21.37 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ;  $PM_{2.0}$  maximum concentration recorded at  $8.76 \pm 2.91 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  and minimum at  $1.01 \pm 0.42 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ; and  $PM_{5.0}$  the maximum reading is observed at  $0.95 \pm 0.18 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  and the minimum is at  $0.03 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . Li *et al.* (2001) strongly suggest that higher physical activities or more movements and mobility inside the classrooms from the occupants during weekdays which is on schooldays would contribute to higher human bio-effluents from the school children that might affecting the elevated PM levels (Yip *et al.*, 2004; Yoon *et al.*, 2011; Harrison *et al.*, 2005). Other factors may also influence from building material, furnishings, ventilation rates, temperature and humidity (Branco *et al.*, 2014; Nunes *et al.*, 2015; Yang *et al.*, 2009).

**Table 1.** The average concentrations of  $PM_{0.3}$ ,  $PM_{2.0}$  and  $PM_{5.0}$  in all schools

Pollutant	Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )					
	Indoor			Outdoor		
	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Max	Min
$PM_{0.3}$	152.21	399.24	133.24	28.54	41.47	21.15
	$\pm 41.19$	$\pm 13.85$	$\pm 21.37$	$\pm 9.22$	$\pm 0.55$	$\pm 2.14$
$PM_{2.0}$	2.50	8.76	1.01	0.43	0.61	0.21
	$\pm 0.29$	$\pm 2.91$	$\pm 0.42$	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.02$	$\pm 0.13$
$PM_{5.0}$	0.18	0.95	0.03	0.06	0.36	0.01
	$\pm 0.06$	$\pm 0.18$	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.00$	$\pm 0.10$	$\pm 0.00$

Outdoor samplings were also conducted to monitor the concentration of outdoor pollutants with particulates size of  $PM_{0.3}$ ,  $PM_{2.0}$ ,  $PM_{5.0}$  and to examine the effects of outdoor air quality towards the indoor air quality. From the result, it was recorded that the outdoor coarse and fine particulates showed higher concentration during weekdays compared to weekend with average level of  $PM_{0.3}$   $32.47 \pm 3.18 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ;  $PM_{2.0}$   $0.36 \pm 0.08 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ;  $PM_{5.0}$   $0.06 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  compared to weekends

reported at  $PM_{0.3}$   $24.61 \pm 1.77 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ;  $PM_{2.0}$   $0.49 \pm 0.06 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  and  $PM_{5.0}$   $0.05 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . Indoor-outdoor ratio (I/O) assessment was performed in this study to determine the strength of indoor sources towards the indoor air quality (IAQ) (Elbayoumi *et al.*, 2013). The assumption is that if the value I/O ratio is less than 1 means pollutants that presence in indoor are mostly transported from outdoors. In contrast, if it is greater than 1 then the main source is from indoor (Long *et al.*, 2000). From the results, I/O ratio for all PM size in all elementary schools is ranged from 3.00 to 5.81 as shown in Table 2. This shows that the I/O ratio for indoor particulates with size  $PM_{0.3}$ ,  $PM_{2.0}$  and  $PM_{5.0}$  for all elementary school has exceeded the unity value and this indicates that the indoor pollutants are generated from indoor sources itself.

**Table 2.** The I/O ratio between indoors and outdoors in all elementary schools

Pollutant	Indoor ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Outdoor ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Ratio (I/O)
	Weekdays	Weekends	Weekdays	Weekends	
$PM_{0.3}$	$258.65 \pm 45.76$	$210.84 \pm 42.48$	$32.47 \pm 3.18$	$24.61 \pm 1.77$	5.33
$PM_{2.0}$	$3.55 \pm 1.46$	$1.45 \pm 0.25$	$0.36 \pm 0.08$	$0.49 \pm 0.06$	5.81
$PM_{5.0}$	$0.30 \pm 0.19$	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	$0.06 \pm 0.04$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	3.00

High contaminations of outdoor air is the prime cause of indoor air quality problems, especially in buildings that is naturally ventilated with doors and windows are open, such as schools building. Inadequate ventilation like this can increase indoor pollutant levels by bringing in the high level of outdoor contaminants to indoor, but not carrying it out from indoor and out of the classroom (Hagerhed *et al.*, 2006). If the air exchange rate is low, the indoor air pollutants can accumulate to levels that can pose health and comfort problems (Long *et al.*, 2000). For meteorological measurements, temperature, humidity and air flow were performed to analyze the link to the thermal comfort inside the classroom. The weekdays average temperature is recorded at  $28.01 \pm 1.59 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and  $27.61 \pm 1.93 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for weekends, which both were exceeded the Industry Code of Practice on Indoor Air Quality (ICOP-IAQ 2010)  $23\text{-}26 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for temperature. Higher temperature during weekdays can be linked to the occupancy at the classroom during the period, which will increase the heat released from their activity (Valavanidis *et al.*, 2008).

For humidity, it does not exceed the standard limit by ICOP-IAQ within 40-70 %. In the classrooms, average humidity during the weekends is  $48.83 \pm 3.75 \%$  which is higher compared to weekdays recorded at  $45.76 \pm 1.87 \%$ . Humidity in the classrooms can be due to natural ventilated school buildings design (Elbayoumi *et al.*, 2013); or rainy days whereby water vapor in the atmosphere may wash out pollutants and therefore would lower the concentration of particulate matters (Putus *et al.*, 2004). Besides, higher humidity will favor an increase in hygroscopic particles which in turn increase particles growth and indirectly enhance the formation and deposition of particulates to bigger size (Wolkoff & Kjaergaard 2007). For air flow, only during the weekdays has

exceeded the ICOP-IAQ  $0.5 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  standard limit. The classrooms average air flow has recorded at  $0.59 \pm 0.29 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  during weekdays and at  $0.39 \pm 0.18 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  during weekends. The prevailing winds play an important role in indoor pollutants concentration since infiltration of particles is driven by gradients pressure that are influenced by wind movement (Chithra & Shiva 2014). According to Ismail *et al.* (2010), air flow mainly affected by the opening of the buildings such as windows and doors, thus the movement of wind from outside will infiltrated the air particulates into the indoor environment (Radha *et al.*, 2010).

### Conclusion

The results from this research provide a baseline data for elementary schools when developing the indoor air quality (IAQ) standard for children as the OSHA 1994 and WHO 2005 standards that are currently being use to assess the IAQ are actually not meant for children as the existing standard is for adult and it has higher acceptance exposure limit for children. Hence, we can conclude that the average concentrations of coarse and fine particulates in weekdays were found higher compared to weekends. In addition, the I/O ratio for all PM concentrations exceeds the unity value of 1, indicates that major of the indoor airborne particulates are not generated from the outdoors, but it is predominantly generated from indoor source.

### Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support from Malaysian Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) and Universiti Malaysia Sabah (UMS). This research is financial supported by RAGS 0037-STWN-2013. The schools involved in the present study are acknowledged, however the names are not to be disclosed due to confidentiality agreement that has been made with the schools authority.

### References

- [1] Almeida, S., Canha, N., Silva, A., Freitas, M., Pegas, P., Alves, C., Evtugina, M. & Pio, C. (2011). Children exposure to atmospheric particles in indoor of Lisbon primary schools. *Atmospheric Environment*, **45**, 7594-7599.
- [2] Brabin, D., Smith.,Miligan, P., Benjamin C. & Dunne, E. (2008). Respiratory morbidity in Meryside school children exposed to coal dust and air pollution. *Discovery of Children Health*, **70**(4), 305-312.
- [3] Branco, P., Alvin-Ferraz, M., Martins, F. & Sousa, S. (2014). Indoor air quality in urban nurseries at Porto city: Particulate matter assessment. *Atmospheric Environment*, **84**, 133-143.
- [4] Chithra, V. & Shiva, N. (2014). Impact of outdoor meteorology on indoor  $\text{PM}_{10}$ ,  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  and  $\text{PM}_1$  concentrations in a naturally ventilated classroom. *Urban Climate*, **10**, 77-91.
- [5] Chitra, V. & Shiva, N. (2012). Indoor air quality investigations in a naturally ventilated school building located close to urban roadway in Chennai, India. *Building Environment*, **54**, 159-167.
- [6] Elbayoumi, M., Ramli, N.A. & Yusof, N.F.F. (2013). Spatial and seasonal variation of particulate matter ( $\text{PM}_{10}$  and  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ ) in Middle Eastern Classroom. *Atmospheric Environment*, **80**, 389-397.
- [7] Fromme, H., Diemer, J., Dietrich, S., Cyrus, J., Heinrich, J., Lang, W.,Kiranoglu, M. & Twardella, D. (2008). Chemical and morphological properties of particulate matter ( $\text{PM}_{10}$ ,  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ ) in school classrooms and outdoor air. *Atmospheric Environment*, **42**, 6597-6605.
- [8] Hagerhed, E.L., Bornehad, C.G., Sundell, J. & Aberg, N. (2006). Daycare attendance and increased risk for respiratory and allergic symptoms in preschool age. *Allergy*, **61**, 447-453.
- [9] Halterman, J. Montes, G. Aligne, A. Kacsorowski, J. & Hightower, A. (2008). School readiness among urban children with asthma. *Ambulatory Pediatrics*, **5**, 231-240.
- [10] Harrison, P., Aylward, C., Holmes, P. & Harris, B.(2005). Indoor environment research in Europe: beware the Gaps. *Indoor Built Environment*, **14**(5), 341-343.

- [11] Jacqueline-Zweig, S., John-Ham, C. & Edward-Avol, E. (2009). Air pollution and academic performances: evidence from California schools. *Journal of Environmental Health Sciences*, **73**, 546-551.
- [12] Khan, I., Freitas, M.C., Dioniso, I. & Pacheco, A.M.G. (2007). Indoor habits of children aged 5 to 10 years learning at the public basic schools of Lisbon city, Portugal. Proceedings of the Ninth REHVA World Congress Clima-Well Being Indoor. Helsinki.
- [13] Lee, S.C., Huo, H., Li, W.M. & Chan, L.Y. (2002). Inter comparison of air pollutant concentrations in different indoor environment in Hong Kong. *Atmospheric Environment*, **36**(12), 1929-1940.
- [14] Long, C.M., Suh, H.H. & Koutrakis, P. (2000). Characterization of indoor particle source using continuous mass and size monitors. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, **50**, 1236-1250.
- [15] Longhurst, J.W. & Brebbia, C. 2014. Air Pollution XXII. WIT Press, Great Britain.
- [16] Mendell, M.J. & Heath, G.A. (2005). Do indoor pollutants and thermal conditions in schools influence student performance? A critical review of the literature. *Indoor Air*, **15**, 27-52.
- [17] Nunes, R., Branco, P., Alvin-Ferraz, M., Martins, F. & Sousa, S. (2015). Particulate matter in rural and urban nursery schools in Portugal. *Environmental Pollution*, **202**, 7-16.
- [18] Pegas, P.N., Evtugina, M.G., Alves, C.A., Nunes, T., Cerqueira, M., Franchi, M. & Pio, C.A. (2010). Outdoor/indoor air quality in primary schools in Lisbon: a preliminary study. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, **52**(6), 388-395.
- [19] Putus, T., Tuomainen, A. & Rautiala, S. (2004). Chemical and microbial exposures in a school building: adverse health effects in children. *Archaeological Environmental Health*, **59**, 194-201.
- [20] Radha, G. & Khare, M. (2010). Indoor air quality and ventilation modeling. Indo-French Indoor Air Quality Seminar, Nantes. 12 February 2010.
- [21] Rivas, I., Viana, M., Moreno, T., Pandolfi, M., Amato, F., Reche, C. & Querol, X. (2014). Child exposure to indoor and outdoor air pollutants in schools in Barcelona, Spain. *Environment International*, **69**, 200-212.
- [22] Stranger, M., Potgieter-Vermaak, S. & Grieken, R. (2007). Comparative overview of indoor air quality in Antwerp, Belgium. *Environment International*, **33**(6), 789-797.
- [23] Suglia, S.F., Gryparis, A., Wright, R.O. & Schwartz, J. (2008). Association of black carbon with cognition among children in a prospective birth cohort study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, **167**, 280-286.
- [24] US EPA. (1995). Indoor air quality tools for schools. EPA/402-K-95-001, Washington DC, pp 3-4.
- [25] Valavanidis, A., Fiotakis, K. & Vlachogianni, T. (2007). Airborne particulates matter and human health: toxicological assessment and importance of size and composition particles for oxidation damage and carcinogenic mechanisms. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Environmental Carcinogenic Ecotoxicological Review*, **26**(4), 339-362.
- [26] Wolkoff, P. & Kjærgaard, S. (2007). The dichotomy of relative humidity on indoor air quality. *Environment International*, **33**, 850-857.
- [27] Yang, W., Sohn, J., Kim, J., Son, B. & Park, J. (2009). Indoor air quality investigation according to age of the school buildings in Korea. *Journal of Environment Management*, **90**, 348-354.
- [28] Yip, F.Y., Keeler, G.J., Dvonch, J.T. & Robins. (2004). Personal exposure to particulate matter among children with asthma in Detroit, Michigan. *Atmospheric Environment*, **38**, 5227-5236.
- [29] Yoon, C., Lee, K. & Park, D. (2011). Indoor air quality differences between urban and rural pre-schools in Korea. *Environment Science Pollution Research*, **18**, 333-345.